	—	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
4	Advisory Action	09/779,175	MOSBAUGH, JIM	
	Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Monique T. Cole	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
There final recondit	REPLY FILED 8/19/2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A fore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a section under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal mation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
Ex fee hav fee und (2) as s	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The e been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of er 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic led, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The appropriation or the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further		noo NOTE belowly	
		·	see NOTE below),	
•	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			
•	 they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or 			. , ,
(d) They present additional claims without canceling they present additional claims without canceling they are the are they are they are they are the are the are the are the are the are they are the are			
	NOTE: <u>seed control diagraphs</u> . Atomizin	ig was not previously	/ considered	₹
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	lón(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered a w or appended.	and an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-13 and 15-19</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	
10.	Other:			
		Simon	ffuard Warden	
		Superviso Technol	ry Patent Examiner	